### Flows on the torus

Spencer Unger

University of Toronto

August 25, 2023

Joint work with Andrew Marks, and Anton Bernshteyn and Anush Tserunyan

## Equidecomposition of functions

### Definition

Let f, g be real valued functions on space X. f and g are equidecomposible as functions if there are functions  $h_0, \ldots, h_m$  and transformations  $T_1, \ldots, T_m$  such that  $f = h_0 + h_1 + \cdots + h_m$  and  $g = h_0 + T_1 h_1 + \cdots + T_m h_m$ .

### Flows

Let G = (X, E) be a directed symmetric graph on X. Let  $\mathbb{R}^X$  be the set of real valued functions on X. And let  $\Phi_E$  be the set of functions  $\phi : E \to \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\phi(x, y) = -\phi(y, x)$  for all  $(x, y) \in E$ .

Define an action of  $\Phi_E$  on  $X^{\mathbb{R}}$  by

$$(\phi \oplus f)(x) = f(x) - \sum_{y \in N(x)} \phi(x, y)$$

We say  $\phi$  is an *f*-flow in *G* if  $\phi \oplus f = 0$ .

## Equivalence

Let  $T_1, \ldots, T_m$  be transformations of X and let E be the symmetric graph generated by  $T_1, \ldots, T_m$  and their inverses.

### Proposition

f and g are equidecomposible as functions using the translations  $T_1, \ldots, T_m$  if and only if there is an f - g-flow in (X, E).

By some algebra involving the action, we have  $\phi \oplus (f - g) = 0$  if and only if  $\phi \oplus f = g$ .

### Our setting

We work with bounded, real valued, Borel functions f on  $\mathbb{T}^k$  and translations  $T_1, \ldots, T_m$  and we attempt to find flows that are Borel.

## Constructing flows

By the proposition, we change perspective and let  $f : \mathbb{T}^k \to \mathbb{R}$  be a function with  $\int f d\lambda = 0$  and try to construct an *f*-flow  $\phi$  the graph G = (X, E) generated by some fixed translations  $T_1, \ldots, T_m$  and their inverses.

- 1. Define a sequence of functions  $f_0 = f, f_1, \ldots$  which are given by some iterated averaging procedure using the edges of G.
- 2. Associated to this averaging procedure, define a sequence of flows  $\phi_n$  such that  $\phi_n \oplus f_n = f_{n+1}$ .
- 3. If we can show that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} f_n = 0$  and  $\phi = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \phi_i$  is absolutely convergent, then  $\phi$  is an *f*-flow in *G*.

$$\phi \oplus f = \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \phi_i\right) \oplus f = \lim_{N \to \infty} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{N} \phi_i\right) \oplus f = \lim_{N \to \infty} f_{N+1} = 0$$

### Averaging using random walks

(Joint work with Anton Bernshteyn and Anush Tserunyan)

Let G be the Schreier graph on  $\mathbb{T}^k$  generated by the  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  action of d randomly chosen translations and the standard generators  $S = \{\pm e_1, \ldots, \pm e_d\}.$ 

For  $h:\mathbb{T}^k o\mathbb{R}$ , let  $\Delta h(x)=rac{1}{2d}\sum_{e\in S}h(e\cdot x)$ 

Note that if  $\phi$  is the flow that assigns to each edge  $(x, e \cdot x)$  the value  $(1/2d)(h(e \cdot x) - h(x))$ , then  $\phi \oplus h = \Delta h$ .

## Wishful thinking

Let  $f : \mathbb{T}^k \to \mathbb{R}$  be a function with  $\int f d\lambda = 0$  and consider the sequence  $f, \Delta f, \Delta^2 f, \ldots$ .

We hope the sequence goes to 0 and moreover that the sum of the flows implementing the sequence is absolutely convergent.

Define  $\phi_n(x, e \cdot x) = \frac{1}{2d} ((\Delta^n f)(e \cdot x) - (\Delta^n f)(x))$  and compute the sum:

$$\phi(x, e \cdot x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \phi_n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2d} \Big( (\Delta^n f) (e \cdot x) - (\Delta^n f) (x) \Big)$$
$$= \frac{1}{2d} \Big( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\Delta^n f) (e \cdot x) - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\Delta^n f) (x) \Big)$$

So we would really like that  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\Delta^n f)(x)$  converges absolutely. If it does, then we have  $\phi \oplus f = 0$ .

### Discrepancy

We note that  $(\Delta^n f)(x) = \int f d\rho_n^x$  where  $\rho_n^x$  is the probability measure defined on the orbit of x where  $\rho_n^x(y)$  is the probability that a simple random walk starting at x ends at y after n steps.

For a measure  $\mu$  and a function f, we define the *discrepancy* of f with respect to  $\mu$  to be  $D(f, \mu) = |\int f d\lambda - \int f d\mu|$ . When f is the characteristic function of a set A, then we write  $D(A, \mu)$ .

So to show that  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Delta^n f$  is absolutely convergent it is enough to bound  $D(f, \rho_n^x)$  for all *n* and *x*.

## From functions to intervals

The following lemma is due to Laczkovich:

#### Lemma

Let  $\mu$  be a probability measure on  $\mathbb{T}^k$ . Suppose that  $A \subseteq \mathbb{T}^k$  with  $\text{Dim}(\partial A) < k$ , then there are  $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$  and constant K such that  $D(A, \mu) \leq KD(\mu)^{\epsilon}$ . Moreover, the  $\epsilon$  and K only depend on  $\text{Dim}(\partial A)$  rather than A.

Where Dim is the upper Minkowski dimension and  $D(\mu) = \sup D(B, \mu)$  where the sup is over axis parallel boxes.

### From functions to intervals 2

We define a notion of dimension of the boundary of a function  $Dim(\partial f)$  as follows. Let

$$(\partial_{\delta}f)(x) = \sup_{y \in B_{\delta}(x)} f(y) - \inf_{y \in B_{\delta}(x)} f(y)$$

Then

$$\operatorname{Dim}(\partial f) = k + \lim_{\delta \to 0^+} \frac{\log \int \partial_{\delta} f d\lambda}{-\log(\delta)}$$

We prove an analog of the previous theorem for functions with small boundary.

# Bounding $D(\rho_n^x)$

The previous argument allows us to reduce to bounding  $D(\rho_n^x)$ . Some ideas:

- 1. We use the Erdös-Turan-Koksma theorem from which it is enough to bound the Fourier coefficients of  $\rho_n^x$ .
- 2. These Fourier coefficients are independent of x!
- 3. Using the randomly chosen translations it is enough to bound the expected value of our sum.
- 4. Bounding the final sum uses the transience of the random walk in the *d*-dimensional grid for  $d \ge 3$ .

### Generalization

Recall we found that our flow was easily definable from  $g = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Delta^n f$  and note that this satisfies the formula:

$$f = (1 - \Delta)g$$

This suggests that finding flows is similar to inverting operators like  $1-\Delta$  and leads to the following generalization:

Let  $\mathscr{B}(\mathbb{T}^k)$  be the set of bounded Borel functions on  $\mathbb{T}^k$ .

### Theorem (Bernshteyn-Tserunyan-U)

There is a natural class of operators  $\mathscr{P}_d(\bar{u})$  on  $\mathscr{B}(\mathbb{T}^k)$  defined uniformly from  $\bar{u} \in (\mathbb{T}^k)^d$  such that the following holds. For almost every  $\bar{u} \in (\mathbb{T}^k)^d$ , for all  $f \in \mathscr{B}(\mathbb{T}^k)$  with  $\int f d\lambda = 0$  and for all  $p \in \mathscr{P}_d(\bar{u})$ , if  $\text{Dim}(\partial f)$  is sufficiently small relative to a fixed function of p and k, then there is  $g \in \mathscr{B}(\mathbb{T}^k)$  such that f = (1 - p)g.

### Corollary

If f, g are bounded Borel functions on  $\mathbb{T}^k$  with the same Lebesgue integral and  $\text{Dim}(\partial f), \text{Dim}(\partial g) < k$ , then f and g are equidecomposible as functions with Borel witnesses using translations.

## Another way of averaging

(Joint work with Andrew Marks) Recall our framework for constructing flows of a function f was to define a sequence of functions  $f_n$  and "flows between them".

In the context of circle squaring, we can define  $f_n(x)$  to be the average of f over an  $|\cdot|_{\infty}$ -norm box R of side length  $2^n$  anchored at x in the action of  $T_1, \ldots, T_m$ .

In this context it is straightforward to define flows  $\phi_n$  such that  $\phi_n \oplus f_n = f_{n+1}$ .

Moreover, the absolute convergence of  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \phi_i$  is directly related to Laczkovich's discrepancy estimates.

### Decomposing sets with small boundary

Laczkovich's 1992 theorem applies to sets  $A, B \subseteq \mathbb{T}^k$  with the same positive Lebesgue measure and  $\text{Dim}(\partial A), \text{Dim}(\partial B) < k$ .

To bound the averages from the previous slide,  $T_1, \ldots, T_m$  are chosen uniformly at random. In contrast, we have the following theorem:

### Theorem (Marks-U)

Laczkovich's discrepancy estimates for sets of small boundary are possible using translations whose coordinates are either 0 or algebraic irrational.

### Corollary

Known circle squaring results are possible with these vectors.

This answers a question of Laczkovich from his 1990 paper.

## Some ideas from the proof

- 1. We make use of product actions.
- 2. We bound discrepancy of sets with small boundary with respect to product sets in terms of the one dimensional discrepancy of the components of the product.
- 3. The previous item allows us to replace the use of the Erdos-Turan-Koksma inequality with the Erdos-Turan inequality.
- 4. We use a theorem of Schmidt about simultaneous approximation of return times of quadratic irrationals.